

1 Proceedings 1

2 STATE OF NEW YORK

3 ONONDAGA COUNTY INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

4 -----

5 In the Matter of the Public Hearing re:

6 WHITE PINE COMMERCE PARK (FORMERLY CLAY

7 BUSINESS PARK)

8 Draft Generic Environmental Impact

9 Statement

10 -----

11 4401 Route 31
12 Clay, New York

13 Tuesday, October 16, 2012
14 6:00 p.m.

15 Present:

16 MARY BETH PRIMO
17 Executive Director
18 OCIDA

19 WALTER KALINA, AICP
20 Principal Planner
21 Clough Harbour & Associates

22 KRISTI SMILEY, OCIDA
23 CAROLYN MAY, OCIDA

Reported by: PAMELA PALOMEQUE, RPR

23

24

25

1 Proceedings 2

2 MS. PRIMO: Good evening, everyone, and
3 for the purposes of the record I will go
4 through the whole introduction. I am Mary
5 Beth Primo and I'm the Executive Director of
6 the Onondaga County IDA, Industrial
7 Development Agency. I'm here tonight with two
8 members of our staff, Kristi Smiley, who is a
9 secretary for the agency, and Carolyn May and
10 we're also joined tonight by Walt Kalina.
11 Walt Kalina is a planner and works for the
12 engineering firm CHA.

13 CHA has been retained by OCIDA and has
14 worked with us for the past couple years and
15 will continue to work with us on the SEQR
16 process. Also with us tonight is John
17 Kluscik. John Kluscik is an attorney with the
18 Gilberti Law Firm and that law firm represents
19 OCIDA.

20 The IDA -- if you're not familiar with
21 the IDA -- is public benefit corporation. It
22 was created in 1970 pursuant to New York State

23 law, the General Municipal Law, and in general
24 what IDAs are authorized to do is to advance
25 or improve the health, general prosperity, and

1 Proceedings 3

2 the economic welfare of the people in this
3 state and in particular, OCIDA, we're
4 committed to helping new or established
5 businesses grow or build their operations in
6 Onondaga County. We do that by providing
7 benefits such as tax exemptions and to help
8 with on financing, qualified financing of the
9 IDA.

10 The reason why we're here tonight is that
11 OCIDA is proposing to develop a modern
12 industrial business -- a park on an
13 approximately 339 acre parcel in the Town of
14 Clay, and I know many of you know where it's
15 located, so for the record, I'll tell you,
16 it's the northeast corner of the intersection
17 of Route 31 and Caughdenoy Road.

18 OCIDA, with the assistance of CHA, has
19 already conducted a scoping process to focus
20 the Draft Generic EIS on potentially
21 significant adverse impacts and to eliminate

22 consideration of those impacts that would be
23 irrelevant or nonsignificant. The scoping
24 process included a 30-day comment period and
25 that ran from April 10th of this year, 2012,

1 Proceedings 4

2 to May 10th, 2012.

3 Another part of that scoping process was
4 a public hearing that we held here in the Town
5 of Clay on May 3rd, 2012. On September 20th,
6 2012 the OCIDA board accepted the project's
7 DGEIS as complete; the Draft Generic
8 Environmental Impact Statement is completed.
9 We're here tonight because OCIDA is seeking
10 the public's input or comments regarding the
11 project's Draft Generic Environmental Impact
12 Statement to ensure there will be adequate
13 support in SEQR findings.

14 This is how we will proceed. First I'm
15 going to read to you the public notice and
16 then there will be a presentation on the
17 project by Walt Kalina of CHA. Then the
18 public will have an opportunity to make
19 comments and there is a restriction, that we
20 probably will waive tonight, for three

21 minutes. You know, if we had a crowd we were
22 going to restrict it for three minutes.
23 Obviously that's not needed.

24 You should know, following tonight's
25 hearing, OCIDA will continue to accept the

1 Proceedings 5

2 public's written comments until October 29th,
3 2012.

4 Okay. Now, I'm going to read the public
5 notice. I just want to say one thing to the
6 woman who walked in; did you have a chance to
7 sign in?

8 MS. TRUMBLE: She's my mother.

9 MS. PRIMO: Maybe before you leave you
10 can sign in anyways.

11 MR. TRUMBLE: They get paid by how many
12 people attend.

13 (Laughter.)

14 MS. PRIMO: The Onondaga -- this is the
15 public notice that was published. The
16 Onondaga County Industrial Development Agency
17 has prepared a Draft Generic Environmental
18 Impact Statement in connection with its Clay
19 Business Park development project and has

20 determined that the DGEIS is complete and
21 adequate for the purpose of public review.

22 OCIDA proposes to develop the Clay
23 Business Park, comprised of approximately 339
24 acres located northeast of Route 31 and
25 Caughdenoy Road in Clay for a mix of

1 Proceedings 6

2 industrial uses. They may include office,
3 research, manufacturing, assembly, warehousing
4 and distribution facilities. A 4.3 mile sewer
5 line roughly parallel to Route 31 and
6 improvements along Caughdenoy Road at its
7 intersection with Route 31 are proposed in
8 connection with this project.

9 Potential environmental impacts include a
10 change in the existing character of the area
11 and the addition of the new vehicle trips to
12 the adjacent road network. Some degree of
13 soil erosion and sedimentation will occur as a
14 result of construction. Both construction and
15 operation of facilities will produce air
16 pollutants. Less than 0.5 acres of wetland
17 will be affected. Structures will likely be
18 visible for half a mile or more. Construction

19 and operation of facilities will produce noise
20 as will vehicles entering and leaving the
21 park. Construction will require the
22 commitment of natural resources in building
23 materials. Operation will require the
24 commitment of wastewater treatment capacity at
25 the Oak Orchard Wastewater Treatment Plant and

1 Proceedings 7

2 energy resources. Both construction and
3 operation will generate solid waste.

4 The DGEIS is available on the OCIDA
5 website, [www.Syracusecentral.com/Economic-
6 Development-Services-Industrial-Development-
7 Agency](http://www.Syracusecentral.com/Economic-Development-Services-Industrial-Development-Agency) or by calling 315-435-3770. The DGEIS
8 may be reviewed at the Northern Onondaga
9 Public Library at Cicero, 8686 Knowledge Lane,
10 Cicero, or the Central Onondaga Public Library
11 at 447 South Salina Street, Syracuse, and at
12 the Clay Town Offices 4401 Route 31, Clay, or
13 at the Cicero Town Offices, 8236 Brewerton
14 Road, Cicero.

15 OCIDA will receive public and agency
16 comment on the project and the DGEIS at a
17 public hearing on October 16th, 2012,

18 6:00 p.m. in the Town of Clay Town Hall,
19 4401 Route 31, Town of Clay, or you can send
20 written comments to OCIDA at 333 West
21 Washington Street, Suite 130, Syracuse,
22 New York 13202. Comments will be accepted
23 until October 29th, 2012.
24 Now we'll have a presentation of the
25 project by Mr. Kalina.

1 Proceedings 8

2 MR. KALINA: Okay. If we could look at
3 the slides up on the wall, I'll take about 5
4 to 10 minutes just to summarize the project
5 and talk a little bit about the EIS. I just
6 want you to notice at the top of this slide,
7 this site has always been known as the Clay
8 Business Park. It's now being referred to as
9 the White Pine Commerce Park. OCIDA has made
10 that name change just recently, so all future
11 references to that site will be the White Pine
12 Commerce Park. Okay?

13 As Mary Beth indicated, I think the folks
14 here know where the site is. Just to briefly
15 summarize some of the major attributes of the
16 site, 339 total acres are owned by OCIDA. The

17 site is zoned industrial 2 by the Town of
18 Clay, has direct access from New York State
19 Route 31 and within two to four miles of
20 Interstate 81 and 481. The site has plentiful
21 water supplies provided by the Onondaga County
22 Water Authority that's adjacent to the site.
23 We have other utilities adjacent to the site
24 including natural gas, telephone, fiberoptic.
25 The one missing utility is public sewer and

1 Proceedings 9

2 I'll talk about that in a few minutes.

3 Another thing this site has as a real
4 advantage to industrial use is its proximity
5 to the CSX rail line that crosses Caughdenoy
6 Road just north of the site.

7 These are the seven parcels that make up
8 the 339 acres. OCIDA has acquired those
9 parcels over the course of a number of years
10 and that's the current total. When we looked
11 at the site in general, we found that
12 development is most suitable in really three
13 locations on the site and I'll talk to that in
14 a few minutes, but those locations are
15 primarily north of Route 31, east of

16 Caughdenoy Road, and then in the eastern part
17 of the site.

18 Approximately 182 acres -- that doesn't
19 show up correctly there but approximately 182
20 acres of the 339 acres are available to
21 support some type of development. The
22 remaining acreage are either things like
23 wetlands or wooded wetlands or setbacks that
24 are required by the Town of Clay. So those
25 really aren't available for development.

1 Proceedings 10

2 When we laid out a conceptual layout for
3 the site, buildings, roads and parking areas
4 will occupy about 110 acres of that 182 acres
5 of the site, so you're looking at development
6 at about 110 acres or roughly a third of the
7 entire site. This is the same graphic that's
8 up here, just really, really quickly to talk
9 from it. This one might be a little bit
10 easier to see but if you were to look at the
11 site, this is 31 here. Obviously Caughdenoy
12 Road here, if you folks are down here. If you
13 look at this graphic, really the gray areas,
14 the areas that are shaded gray are really

15 those most developable portions of the site
16 that we talked about. These areas shaded
17 green are wetlands or wetland buffers along
18 the state wetlands so those areas we really
19 avoided and don't intend to get into them.

20 That's how we figured out the total
21 acreage of the site. We ran through several
22 different scenarios to figure out what could
23 fit on the site in those developable areas and
24 basically what we came up with was this
25 concept that shows what the maximum buildout

1 Proceedings 11

2 of the site would be. This doesn't mean this
3 is what is actually going to occur on the
4 site. It may occur vastly different from what
5 this concept looks like.

6 What we're trying to show is really what
7 is the maximum building, parking space that we
8 could fit on that site in those developable
9 areas and still stay out of the wetlands and
10 some of the other vital sensitive areas. When
11 we lay this out, you come out with roughly two
12 to two and a half million square feet of
13 development that could be occupied on that

14 site. What may happen in the future, we don't
15 know. You could have one large company come
16 in and develop the site for all those uses or
17 you could have a number of smaller tenants
18 coming in and develop the different parts of
19 the site but the total development, if they
20 were to build out the site in full, would be
21 about two to two and a half million square
22 feet of total development.

23 We did that for a reason, for sewer
24 reasons so we could figure out what kind of
25 traffic might be generated, what other kinds

1 Proceedings 12

2 of things could create an impact that would
3 have to be described and addressed in the EIS.
4 So that's the reason for trying to figure out
5 what can fit on the site.

6 There's really two important things that
7 have to be done to get to this site
8 shovel-ready for a tenant to come in more
9 quickly. Number 1 thing is improvements to
10 the Caughdenoy/Route 31 intersection. These
11 are just some of the things that are proposed
12 right now and we briefly mentioned earlier

13 that we had the meeting with the State DOT
14 tomorrow and the County to figure out exactly
15 what they're going to require in terms of
16 improvements at the intersection.

17 Right now we're proposing exclusive
18 left-turn lanes on all four approaches. You
19 have an exclusive westbound right-turn lane
20 onto Caughdenoy Road, so heading west on Route
21 31, you'll have a right-turn lane onto
22 Caughdenoy, so you can access the business
23 park. The intersection would be signalized
24 with a true traffic signal and arrows; a
25 widening to three lanes on Caughdenoy Road

1 Proceedings 13
2 from the intersection north to the CSX
3 crossing, so about .6 to 7-10ths of a mile I
4 think the road would be widened, so we're not
5 sure of the exact configuration right now but
6 it would go from two lanes to three lanes and
7 that probably would be a center turn lane for
8 trucks coming in and out of the business park.
9 And then there's the need for exclusive right
10 turn lanes from Caughdenoy Road into the park
11 and that's into the driveways that we've

12 looked at, three possible locations for
13 driveways into the park itself from Caughdenoy
14 Road.

15 MR. TRUMBLE: All your access points are
16 going to be from Caughdenoy Road? There's
17 going to be no entry off of 31?

18 MR. KALINA: We actually show an access
19 point off of 31 right now. That's actually
20 going to be part of the discussion with DOT,
21 to see how comfortable they are with that or
22 whether they're going to be requiring
23 something else. Right now we're showing those
24 four locations.

25 The other major part of the project is

1 Proceedings 14
2 the installation of sewer force main and
3 that's about 4.3 miles of sewer that would
4 have to be built from, roughly from the
5 northwest corner of the site up in this area
6 because the site all flows this way, so all of
7 this would be fed by gravity sewers to here
8 and then it would be pumped under pressure to
9 a sewer line that is proposed to be built
10 south of 31, and I'll show you the route in a

11 minute. That's about a 4.3 mile distance from
12 the park to the Oak Orchard Wastewater
13 Treatment Plant, if you're familiar with it up
14 on the Oneida River.

15 What we're proposing is the installation
16 of parallel force mains, one 6-inch pipeline
17 and a 12-inch pipeline and that has a lot to
18 do with sanitary waste sitting in the pipe,
19 you know, for appropriate periods of time so
20 you don't want to oversize the pipes. Doing a
21 dual force main actually allows for some
22 flexibility. If the park develops over time,
23 they could use one force main and the other
24 force main would be for future development or
25 if a tenant were to come in and they had a

1 Proceedings 15

2 combination of sanitary waste, you know, from
3 normal day-to-day sewer waste, and some type
4 of process water from an industrial process,
5 then they could use those two force mains, one
6 for process water and one for sanitary waste.
7 So there's an advantage putting in the two
8 pipelines parallel to each other.

9 Construction requires trenching down to

10 about five feet and because there are a number
11 of wetlands that have been identified along
12 the sewer route, that would all be done by
13 directional drilling under those wetlands to
14 avoid directly impacting the wetlands. The --
15 I don't know if you can see it okay but the
16 blue area obviously is the park that we've
17 been talking about. This is State Route 31,
18 Caughdenoy Road. Here's the CSX line. Route
19 31 continues on here. The proposed sewer line
20 would come down along the western side of the
21 business park, just east of Caughdenoy Road,
22 just north of 31, cross over and then come
23 down on the west side of Caughdenoy Road and
24 this is about a thousand feet south of 31.

25 This is an existing Metropolitan Water

1 Proceedings 16
2 Board right-of-way. They've got a major trunk
3 in there, 54-inch trunk line so we would use
4 part of that existing right-of-way to put in
5 the sewer line. And then on the western side
6 of the sewer line, as you're getting close to
7 Mud Creek, then it starts heading north to Oak
8 Orchard Treatment Plant. It would be on the

9 east side of Mud Creek through an existing
10 right-of-way that the County has for their
11 other force mains.

12 Then on this graphic, we're also showing
13 some of the mapped wetlands that occur in the
14 area. What it doesn't show is a lot of the
15 smaller wetlands that actually exist along
16 that sewer that have been identified out in
17 the field. Potential impacts, really we're
18 not talking about this project resulting in
19 any significant environmental impacts.

20 OCIDA has avoided wetland impacts by the
21 design of the site, directional drilling under
22 the existing wetlands for the sewer line, but
23 really the focus has been avoiding significant
24 impacts to the environment. All the existing
25 utilities that are adjacent to this site have

1 Proceedings 17

2 capacity to serve the site for its proposed
3 uses.

4 Cultural resource impacts, we're still in
5 the process of doing some of the cultural
6 resource studies dealing with the State SHPO
7 on some of that along the sewer line, and

8 we've looked at things: Noise, air quality,
9 stormwater, individual character. All of
10 those issues will be permitted through
11 difference processes, either at the State,
12 local, or Federal level, and everything
13 developed on the site will comply with all
14 those different regulations.

15 Mary Beth had mentioned where the copies
16 of the draft GEIS are available. We brought
17 two sets tonight. There are two sets at the
18 Town Hall, Cicero Town Hall; Cicero Library
19 and sites in downtown Syracuse. Comment
20 period ends in two weeks and we really welcome
21 your comments. If you have concerns or
22 issues, you know, you can voice them here
23 tonight or you can put them in writing, as you
24 mentioned, and any of the comments made here
25 tonight we'll respond to as well as those that

1 Proceedings 18
2 are provided to us in writing, we'll respond
3 to in a final version of the environmental
4 impact and will be completed probably in
5 November of this year.

6 MS. PRIMO: Thanks, Walt. Okay. So

7 that's the presentation of the project and at
8 this juncture we are open for any public
9 comments. Andrea?

10 MS. TRUMBLE: I'm Andrea Trumble. I live
11 just south of 31 on Caughdenoy, on the east
12 side. You're talking the new sewer line is
13 going through. Are those houses there --
14 we're all on septic through there, so are --
15 is that able to hook into that sewer line at
16 all?

17 MR. KALINA: Not directly because this is
18 a force main. This is under high pressure so
19 you won't be able to just attach, you know, a
20 lateral sewer into this force main. That's
21 not really what this sewer line is meant for.
22 It's really meant for a high volume of
23 sanitary waste or processed water under force.

24 MS. TRUMBLE: And in this development
25 that you're proposing, you're saying anything

1 Proceedings 19
2 can go in there but what's going to support
3 the area? You're talking all these
4 businesses. That's just going to be --
5 they're all got to go to lunch at some point.

6 MR. KALINA: You mean if something were
7 going to come to the park, where do the
8 employees go?

9 MS. TRUMBLE: Right.

10 MR. KALINA: Absolutely. That's one of
11 the things when a business park like this
12 develops, you'll have a number of employees
13 coming into the area. They will be utilizing
14 local businesses and as well, you know, the
15 industries or businesses that are there are
16 going to need supplies and that type of
17 service will be supported. That will come
18 from the local area.

19 MS. TRUMBLE: I'm interested in the
20 traffic count that's there now without the
21 park.

22 MR. KALINA: I don't have the numbers at
23 my fingertips but that's all in the appendix
24 to the EIS. We've done traffic counts. The
25 actual traffic counts were done back in 2010

1 Proceedings 20
2 along all of the local intersections coming
3 into the 31 corridor. We actually looked at
4 all the intersections along 31 from Route 57

5 all the way east to Thompson Road so there
6 were 19 intersections. All that data is in
7 the EIS right now in an appendix, and that's
8 the data that the State DOT and the County DOT
9 are looking at, and part of our meeting
10 tomorrow with the DOT is to go through all
11 that data and make sure they agree with all
12 the studies being done.

13 So we'll look at the existing counts.
14 We've done calculations on the type of traffic
15 that will be generated by this type of a
16 facility and that's where we're figuring on,
17 okay, you need a traffic light at Caughdenoy
18 Road; you need the turning lanes. That's part
19 of that whole traffic analysis that's going on
20 right now.

21 MR. TRUMBLE: My name is Hank Trumble.
22 You're talking about all this traffic volume
23 that's going to be created by this when it
24 does develop. Don't you feel that the traffic
25 coming off of 481 running the full length of

1 Proceedings 21

2 Caughdenoy is going to have some type of
3 effect on this whole deal? You're saying

4 three lanes on the north side but what about
5 the south side? You got that 481 off-ramp
6 that dumps right on Caughdenoy. I think your
7 truck traffic is going to utilize that more
8 than coming in from any other direction.

9 MR. KALINA: That actually is part of the
10 software that the traffic engineers work with.
11 They actually distribute the likely traffic
12 and where they're going to go, whether they're
13 going to come to the site from the east or go
14 back to the east to 81 or to the west to 481.
15 That's all part of the --

16 MR. TRUMBLE: They're going to be
17 regulating that?

18 MR. KALINA: Regulating.

19 MR. TRUMBLE: Truck drivers?

20 MR. KALINA: The amount of traffic. I
21 don't think there's any plans right now to
22 regulate the amount of truck traffic. You
23 have to accommodate it with intersection
24 improvements or whatever, whatever else is
25 determined to be necessary. You're talking

3 MR. TRUMBLE: You have a 30 mile an hour
4 road that comes up there and nothing but
5 housing developments and now you're going to
6 put trucks on there?

7 MR. KALINA: As far as the traffic
8 engineers are going to look at -- now I see
9 what you're getting at. Actually coming off
10 of Caughdenoy exit and come up --

11 MS. TRUMBLE: Because that's the only way
12 up 481.

13 MR. TRUMBLE: They dump off 481.

14 MR. KALINA: That's stuff we're talking
15 with the DOT. There's something like that
16 that possibly could be -- I don't want to say
17 related because the idea is to keep all the
18 truck traffic on 31. Yeah.

19 MR. ULATOWSKI: Damian Ulatowski. The
20 force main that's going to connect the site to
21 the Oak Orchard plant, will that be for the
22 exclusive use of that industrial park or there
23 will be no other connections made to that
24 along the way, as a follow-up to this woman's
25 question here?

2 MR. KALINA: No, I don't think that's
3 been determined yet. My point was you just
4 can't connect in a lateral from like a house
5 into the force main. It's going to have to be
6 done through a pumping station.

7 MR. ULATOWSKI: So there is an option
8 that may be available, that that system could
9 accommodate --

10 MR. KALINA: Yeah. Everything will be
11 dependent upon the capacity at Oak Orchard.

12 MR. ULATOWSKI: All right.

13 MR. TRUMBLE: Your half acre impact on
14 the wetlands, is that for your access roads to
15 this property that you're --

16 MR. KALINA: Yeah, the half acre of
17 impact to the wetlands are -- I don't know if
18 you can see from there but we're actually
19 crossing some of these wetlands to get up to
20 these parts of the site. That may or may not
21 ever happen but there's no other way to access
22 that part of the site without crossing the
23 wetlands on the site and that's about a half
24 acre, and that's the hope that we come with a
25 permit. We've tried to limit the impact on

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

the wetlands, as we said, and stay out of the wetlands.

MS. TRUMBLE: The State has already put one and a half million in towards this project?

MS. PRIMO: The State has provided a grant that we haven't -- that OCIDA hasn't received yet. It's a reimbursement grant and it's just slightly over 1.5 million, right.

MS. TRUMBLE: Okay.

MR. ULATOWSKI: What's your timeline for completion of the sewer?

MR. KALINA: I don't want to speak for the County because it's all going to be part of the County's process through the web but what our schedule had proposed was go through design this winter into early spring and then, you know, you let out the bids and whatever, and you might be able to construct the later part of next year, and really for construction you're not looking at a very long-term project, probably three or four months.

MR. ULATOWSKI: We're looking to a shovel-ready project that would make it much

2 more marketable?

3 MR. KALINA: Yeah.

4 MR. ULATOWSKI: We're looking at a year
5 and a half maybe?

6 MS. PRIMO: It's really going to be
7 dependent on the county.

8 MR. ULATOWSKI: In the perfect world.

9 MS. PRIMO: Right.

10 MR. TRUMBLE: You're reaching out.

11 MS. PRIMO: If we could move right along,
12 it would be a year and a half. If there's
13 some -- if there's some gap between design and
14 permitting and the actual commencement of
15 construction, then it could be a little bit
16 longer.

17 MR. ULATOWSKI: Okay.

18 MR. TRUMBLE: And the sewer is step one
19 of the project?

20 MS. PRIMO: Sewer is step one of what --

21 MR. TRUMBLE: Of the whole project?

22 MS. PRIMO: We need the sewer.

23 MS. TRUMBLE: Is the work being done at
24 the corner of Caughdenoy and Maple now?

25 MS. PRIMO: I don't think so.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

MR. KALINA: No.

MS. PRIMO: As far as I know nothing -- we don't even have the -- we don't have the permits; we don't have a design yet, nothing.

MS. TRUMBLE: What is this whole area asking from the taxpayers in Onondaga County?

MS. PRIMO: Well, I mean, I will say this, I don't know exactly what it's asking for but we are looking to the County to support the cost of the infrastructure for this project.

MS. TRUMBLE: Which is the cost of what?

MS. PRIMO: The sewer and the roads.

MS. TRUMBLE: So I can't be too far off, about 530,000 just for the design wise and the sewer?

MS. PRIMO: Is that --

MR. KALINA: Yeah, I think that's pretty much in the ballpark, probably for the engineering for the sewer line.

MS. TRUMBLE: And the whole project --

MR. KALINA: I don't know what the final --

MS. TRUMBLE: -- 4, 5 million?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

MR. KALINA: -- figures were. The sewer, yeah, it's in that range. I think it's just over 5 million.

MR. TRUMBLE: This is all going to be funded by the taxpayers? The majority of it?

MR. KALINA: I don't know about the financing, you know, part of the project. All I know at this point is that --

MS. PRIMO: I don't know how the County will handle any kind of reimbursement. They'll create a tax -- a sewer district that serves, you know, around the park, whatever. We don't have those answers so...

MR. ULATOWSKI: Any Federal or State money available or has there been secured State or Federal money for any of the part of the project?

MS. PRIMO: For the infrastructure?

MR. ULATOWSKI: Yes.

MS. PRIMO: The road, and the sewer, not that I'm aware of.

MS. TRUMBLE: I guess really I'd be interested more to hear about the meeting with

25 the DOT tomorrow. I'd like to know what the

1 Proceedings 28

2 town --

3 MR. KALINA: The agencies, whether it's
4 the State DOT, whether it's, you know, State
5 Historic Preservation office, whether it's any
6 other State, Federal, or local agency, they'll
7 likely be commenting on the project and
8 providing written comments on the project,
9 just like we're encouraging the public to do.

10 All those letters, all that
11 correspondence from the agencies will be in
12 the final EIS as well as all our responses to
13 those comments. If an agency brings a
14 comments we have to answer all those and put
15 those in the EIS, so all of that will be
16 public information as part of the EIS, the
17 final EIS.

18 MS. TRUMBLE: Okay, thank you.

19 MS. PRIMO: Okay. Since there are no
20 other comments --

21 MS. BELLANGER: Barb Bellanger. I was
22 just wondering if anyone has any idea how far
23 south of the intersection, 31/Caughdenoy Road

24 intersection that might be involved?

25 MR. KALINA: The only thing that the

1 Proceedings 29

2 engineers have told us yet is we'll get a
3 survey for a thousand feet south of the
4 intersection just to make sure we know where
5 the right-of-ways are, where the utilities
6 are, but that doesn't mean that a thousand
7 feet is going to be affected. We don't know
8 what those lanes are going to look like right
9 now. That's part of the design process.

10 MS. BELLANGER: Thank you.

11 MS. PRIMO: Okay. So that wraps it up
12 for the comment period. You should know that,
13 as I said before, we still -- OCIDA is still
14 accepting written comments and will until
15 October 29th. I want to thank you for
16 attending tonight's meeting.

17 What's going to happen now is OCIDA and
18 CHA and -- you know, once we receive all the
19 comments, we will review those and respond to
20 those. Then the -- they will be put into --
21 be part of the final, generic EIS. That will
22 be the -- the board will then, OCIDA board

23 will then review that and decide -- once it
24 decides the final GEIS is complete, then
25 findings, statements from all the agencies and

1 Proceedings 30

2 from OCIDA will be gathered, and at that point
3 the board will then make its final -- the
4 agency, OCIDA, will make its final decision
5 and the review process for SEQR will be over.
6 So that's it. Thank you very much.

7 MR. TRUMBLE: Thank you.

8

9 * * *

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22
23
24
25

1 Proceedings 31

2 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

3

4 I, PAMELA PALOMEQUE, Court Reporter and

5 Notary Public, certify:

6 That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at

7 the time and place therein set forth, at which time the

8 witness was put under oath by me;

9 That the testimony of the witness and all objections made

10 at the time of the examination were recorded

11 stenographically by me and were thereafter transcribed;

12 That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my

13 shorthand notes so taken;

14 I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of

15 any attorney or of any of the parties nor financially

16 interested in the action.

17

18

19

20

PAMELA PALOMEQUE, RPR CLR

21 Notary Public

22

23

24

25